1053

## Infrared Spectra and Molecular Parameters of Matrix-isolated Gallium(I), Indium(I), and Thallium(I) Oxides (Ga<sub>2</sub>O, In<sub>2</sub>O, and Tl<sub>2</sub>O)

By A. J. HINCHCHLIFFE and J. S. OGDEN\*

(Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, South Parks Road, Oxford)

Summary The  $v_3$  fundamentals are observed for <sup>16</sup>O and <sup>18</sup>O species, and these frequency ratios used directly to derive bond angles: principal stretching force constants are evaluated assuming a range of interaction constants, and the corresponding bond lengths estimated *via* the Laurie-Herschbach relationship.

In recent years the combined techniques of matrix isolation and i.r. spectroscopy have provided valuable structural information on high-temperature chemical species.<sup>1</sup> We describe part of an investigation into the vaporisation and condensation of Group III oxides. It is known from mass-spectrometric studies<sup>2</sup> that the suboxides  $M_2O$ (M = Ga, In, Tl) are present in the vapour above samples of  $M_2O_3$ . However, apart from a brief communication describing the low-resolution vapour-phase i.r. spectra<sup>3</sup> of  $Ga_2O$  and  $In_2O$ , and an electron-diffraction study<sup>4</sup> of  $Ga_2O$  and  $In_2O$ , the interpretation of which has recently been questioned,<sup>5</sup> little is known about these species, and no force-constant data are available.

When the vapour species present above heated  $Ga_2O_3$ are trapped in a nitrogen matrix at  $15^{\circ}$ K, the i.r. spectrum shows an intense band at 809.4 cm.<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>18</sup>O-enrichment gives rise to only one additional band at 768.5 cm.<sup>-1</sup> and the relative intensity of these two bands reflects the original <sup>16</sup>O:<sup>18</sup>O isotopic distribution. This molecular species evidently contains only one atom of oxygen. It cannot be diatomic GaO, since Ga<sup>16</sup>O is known<sup>6</sup> to absorb at *ca*. 755 cm.<sup>-1</sup>, and on the basis of the mass-spectrometric data,<sup>2</sup> can only be Ga<sub>2</sub>O. Similar results were obtained for the In/O and Tl/O systems, and the frequencies observed are listed in the Table. A number of very weak bands were also present in some spectra: these will be discussed in a later paper. The same results were obtained when oxygen gas was passed over the heated metals, and this proved the most satisfactory method of obtaining <sup>18</sup>O enrichment.

Matrix-isolation studies on the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/Al system<sup>7</sup> have shown that in the i.r. spectrum of Al<sub>2</sub>O, the strongest band is the antisymmetric stretch  $v_3$ . The bands observed in this work are therefore assigned as being the antisymmetric modes of Ga<sub>2</sub>O, In<sub>2</sub>O, and Tl<sub>2</sub>O. For triatomic molecules M<sub>2</sub>O with symmetries  $C_{2v}$  or  $D_{\infty h}$ , the zero-order antisymmetric vibration frequency  $\omega_3$  is given by:

$$4\pi^2 \,\omega_3^2 = (F_r - F_{rr})(\mu_{\rm M} + 2\mu_0 \sin^2\theta) \tag{1}$$

where  $\mu_{\rm M}$  and  $\mu_0$  are the reciprocal masses of atoms M and O, and  $2\theta$  is the angle M-O-M.  $F_r$  is the principal stretching force constant, and  $F_{rr}$  is an interaction constant. From equation (1) it is evident that:

$$\left(\frac{\omega_3}{\omega_3^i}\right)^2 = \frac{[\mu_{\rm M} + 2\mu(^{16}\rm O) \sin^2\theta]}{[\mu_{\rm M} + 2\mu(^{18}\rm O) \sin^2\theta]} \tag{2}$$

where  $\omega_3^{i}$  refers to the isotopically substituted  $M_2^{18}O$ .

Although this equation holds rigorously only for zero-order frequencies, it may usefully be applied to estimate a value for  $\theta$  from observed i.r. transitions provided that anharmonicity corrections and matrix shifts are small.7,8

The M-O-M angles given in the Table are calculated

be used to estimate the bond length  $r_{M-0}$  via the appropriate Laurie-Herschbach relationship.<sup>9</sup> The bond lengths listed in the Table have been derived in this way, and correspond closely to the values obtained from electron diffraction.

I.r. absorptions and molecular parameters of Ga <sub>2</sub> O, In <sub>2</sub> O, and Tl <sub>2</sub> O						
		v <sub>3</sub> M <sub>2</sub> <sup>16</sup> O (cm. <sup>-1</sup> )	v <sub>3</sub> M <sub>2</sub> <sup>18</sup> O (cm1)	MOM	$F_r$ (mdynes/Å)	$r_{\rm M-O}({\rm \AA})$
M = Ga	This work Previous work	$\frac{809.4 \pm 0.1}{770^{a}}$	$\overset{\textbf{768} \cdot \textbf{5}  \pm  \textbf{0} \cdot \textbf{1}}{}$	143 ± 5° 140 ± 10°ь 150°с	$3.05 \pm 0.35$	${1.86 \pm 0.03 \atop 1.84 \pm 0.01^{ m b}}$
M = In	This work Previous work	$\begin{array}{r} 722.4 \pm 0.1 \\ \mathbf{680^a} \end{array}$	$ \overset{684\cdot 3}{-} \pm 0.1 $	$135 \pm 7^{\circ} \\ 150 + 10^{\circ \mathrm{b}}$	$2.67 \pm 0.3$	${2 \cdot 04 \pm 0 \cdot 04 \over 2 \cdot 02 \pm 0 \cdot 01^{\mathrm{b}}}$
M = Tl	This work Previous work	$\overset{625\cdot3}{\underline{+}} 0\cdot1$	$591 \cdot 2 \pm 0 \cdot 1$	$131 \pm 11^{\circ}$ $130^{\circ \circ}$	$2 \cdot 12 \pm 0 \cdot 25$	$2.19 \pm 0.05$
<sup>a</sup> ref. 3	<sup>b</sup> ref. 4 <sup>c</sup> ref.	5				

TABLE

directly from the observed frequencies using equation (2). They correlate well with a statement made by Rambidi et al.<sup>5</sup> in a re-assessment of the original electron-diffraction data,<sup>4</sup> although the observed trend may not be significant in view of the approximations involved. The principal force constant  $F_r$  may be obtained directly from equation (1) by setting  $F_{rr} = \pm 0.1F_r$ . This parameter may then

These results indicate that all three fundamental vibrations of Ga<sub>2</sub>O, In<sub>2</sub>O, and Tl<sub>2</sub>O are i.r.-active. A knowledge of these frequencies will provide important thermodynamic data for these high-temperature species and will also allow a complete determination of the quadratic force field.

(Received, July 9th, 1969; Com. 1010.)

- <sup>1</sup> (a) W. Weltner, jun., and J. R. W. Warn, J. Chem. Phys., 1962, 37, 292; (b) W. Weltner, jun., and D. McLeod, jun., *ibid.*, 1965 42, 882; (c) A. Snelson, J. Phys. Chem., 1966, 70, 3208. <sup>2</sup> (a) S. A. Shchukarev, G. A. Semenov, and I. A. Rat'kovskii, Zhur. priklad. Khim., 1962, 35, 1454; (b) R. P. Burns, J. Chem. Phys.,
- <sup>2</sup> (a) S. A. Shchukarev, G. A. Semenov, and I. A. Rat kovskii, *Znur. priklaa. Knim.*, 1962, 35, 1454; (b) K.
  1966, 44, 3307.
  <sup>3</sup> A. A. Mal'tsev and V. F. Shevel'kov, *Teplofiz. Vysok. Temp.*, 1964, 2, 650.
  <sup>4</sup> N. G. Rambidi and S. M. Tolmachev, *Teplofiz. Vysok. Temp.*, 1965, 3, 487.
  <sup>5</sup> N. G. Rambidi and Yu. S. Ezhov, *Zhur. strukt. Khim.*, 1968, 9, 363.
  <sup>6</sup> See e.g. G. Herzberg, "Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure. I.", van Nostrand, Toronto, 1950.
  <sup>7</sup> M. J. Linevsky, D. White, and D. E. Mann, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1964, 41, 542.
  <sup>8</sup> See e.g. J. W. Hastie, R. Hauge, and J. L. Margrave, *J. Inorg. Nuclear Chem.*, 1969, 31, 281.
  <sup>9</sup> D. H. Herschbach and V. W. Laurie, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1961, 35, 458.